Docket No. RP99–222, revising the proforma service agreements in its tariff to incorporate the types of discounts that Columbia Gulf and its customers may consider. It has come to Columbia Gulf's attention that the header information set forth on Third Revised Sheet No. 317 incorrectly reflected Volume No. 2 instead of Volume No. 1 of its FERC Gas Tariff. By the instant filing Columbia Gulf is requesting permission to withdraw its filing of February 9, 1999, and to resubmit the instant filing with the correct Volume No. 1, bearing the same effective date of March.

Columbia Gulf states that this filing is being submitted to modify the pro forma service agreement in its tariff to specify types of permissible rate discounts. As permissible rate discounts, such discounts would not constitute a "material deviation."

Columbia Gulf states that copies of its filing have been mailed to all firm customers, interruptible customers and affected state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/ rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.
[FR Doc. 99–4409 Filed 2–22–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP99-207-000]

#### East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 17, 1999.

Take notice that on February 10, 1999, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252–2511, filed, in Docket No. CP99–207–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to install and operate a new delivery point in Hamilton County, Tennessee under East Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–412–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The application may be viewed on the web at www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims/htm (call (202) 208–2222 for assistance).

East Tennessee states that it proposes to install and operate a 4-inch tap, check valve, interconnecting pipe and electronic gas measurement equipment to serve E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company (duPont). East Tennessee states that the volumes proposed to be delivered to duPont will be pursuant to East Tennessee's blanket transportation certificate authorized in FERC Docket No. CP90-1292 and that duPont's estimated peak day requirements will be 4,800 Mcf per day. East Tennessee further states that the proposed activities will not affect East Tennessee's ability to serve its other existing customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.
[FR Doc. 99–4399 Filed 2–22–99; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP99-194-000]

# El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

February 17, 1999.

Take notice that on February 5, 1999, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), a Delaware corporation, whose mailing address is P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed in Docket No. CP99–194– 000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216) for authorization to abandon by removal the J.E. Meacham Tap with appurtenances and the service rendered by means thereof, in Maricopa County, Arizona, under the blanket authorization issued in CP82-435-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The application may be viewed on the web at www.ferc.fed.us/ online/rims.htm (call (202) 208-2222 for assistance).

The request states that certificate authorization for the installation of the J.E. Meacham Tap with appurtenances, was permitted under Section 2.55(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. This facility was required by El Paso to facilitate the delivery and/or measurement and sale of natural gas from its interstate transmission pipeline system to Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest) for resale.

By letter dated August 25, 1998, Southwest requested El Paso to abandon and remove the J.E. Meacham Tap. Southwest's request was prompted by a private developer requesting the removal of this facility due to the encroachment of a business development. Accordingly, El Paso proposes to abandon the J.E. Meacham Tap, with appurtenances, and the related natural gas service. It is El Paso's understanding that Southwest has arranged to provide service to its customers behind the J.E. Meacham Tap through Southwest's facilities at an alternate location. The proposed abandonment will not result in or cause any interruption, reduction or termination of natural gas service presently rendered to El Paso's customers. El Paso proposes to abandon the tap facility by removal. El Paso will remove and scrap the tap facilities. There will be no salvage or cost of